

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the final office action mailed January 13, 2006 and offers the following remarks to accompany the above amendments. Claims 1-3 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (hereinafter "Lee"). Claims 4, 7-14, 16-23 and 25-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Chang et al. (hereinafter "Chang").

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for indicating that the subject matter of claims 6, 15 and 24 is allowable. Applicant has amended claims 1, 10 and 19 to incorporate the subject matter of claims 6, 15 and 24, respectively. Claims 6, 15 and 24 have been canceled. These amendments should place the case in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any issues remain, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the Applicant's representative to expedite allowance and issuance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.

By: 

Benjamin S. Withrow
Registration No. 40,876
P.O. Box 1287
Cary, NC 27512
Telephone: (919) 654-4520

Date: February 21, 2006
Attorney Docket: 7000-105

<u>CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION</u>	
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING TRANSMITTED VIA FACSIMILE ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW TO:	
Examiner: <u>Haliyar Venkatesh N.</u>	Art Unit: <u>2664</u> Fax: <u>571-273-8300</u>
<u>Michelle Heymann</u> Name of Sender	
<u>Michelle Heymann</u> Signature	
<u>2-21-06</u> Date of Transmission	